## ST. LUCIE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

## PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name:	Grade Levels Served:	District: St. Lucie County Public Schools
Principal and Address of the School:	The School Advisory Council membership is in compliance:	Interim Superintendent: Genelle Yost Date of School Board Approval:
	Yes No	10/8/2013
School Mission Statement:		SAC Chair:
School Vision Statement:		SAC Secretary Name:
Describe the involvement of the SAC in the development of this SIP:	How will the SAC be involved in school year?	the evaluation of the SIP throughout the

#### PART II: DATA ANALYSIS: FCAT/EOC Trend Data and Increasing Student Achievement

School Grade, AMO, and FCAT trend data are included in this section, along with disaggregated data by grade level for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and social studies.

School Letter Grade 5 Year-Trend Data School Grades Data (FLDOE)	The following links will open in a separate browser window. The data should be used to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.		
GradeGradeGradeGradeGrade20132012201120102009	Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data High School Feedback Report K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan		

# READING

2013-2014 Reading goals as measured by FCAT	2012-2013 Reading FCAT comparison
1% ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher.	1. Level 3 or higher% ( )
2%( ) of the students will score a 4 or 5.	2. Level 4 or 5% ( )
3% ( ) of the students will make learning gains.	3. Learning gains% ( )
4%( ) of the students in the lowest 25% will make learning gains.	4. Lowest 25% making gains% ( )
<b>2013-2014 Reading goals for specific subgroups</b> By June 2014, each sub-group will increase the number of students who are proficient in reading Objective:	in accordance with the Annual Measurable
American Indian ( %), Asian ( %), Black/African American ( %), His	panic ( %), White ( %),
English Language Learners ( %), Students with Disabilities ( %), Economically	y Disadvantaged (%)
In June 2013, the current level of performance of students who scored proficient in reading:	
American Indian (    %), Asian (    %), Black/African American (    %), History	panic ( %), White ( %),
English Language Learners ( %), Students with Disabilities ( %), Economically	y Disadvantaged ( %)
For schools with grades 6-12, describe how the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading	g improvement of every student:

## **Mathematics**

## 2013-2014 Mathematics goals as measured by FCAT

- 1. \_\_\_\_% ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher.
- 2. \_\_\_%( ) of the students will score a 4 or 5.
- 3. \_\_\_% ( ) of the students will make learning gains.
- 4. % ( ) of the students in the lowest 25% will make learning gains.

#### 2013-2014 Algebra goals as measured by EOC

- 1. \_\_\_\_% ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher.
- 2. % ( ) of the students will score a 4 or 5.

#### 2013-2014 Geometry goals as measured by EOC

- 1. \_\_\_\_% ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher.
- 2. % ( ) of the students will score a 4 or 5.

#### 2013-2014 Mathematics goals for specific subgroups

By June 2014, each sub-group will increase the number of students who are proficient in mathematics in accordance with the Annual Measurable Objective:

American Indian ( %)	, Asian (	%), Black/African Ar	nerican (	%), Hispanic (	%)	, White (	%),
English Language Learners (	%)	, Students with Disabilities (	%)	, Economically Disadva	ntaged (	%)	

In June 2013, the current level of performance of students who scored proficient in mathematics:							
American Indian ( %)	, Asian (	%), Black/African Ame	rican (	%), Hispanic (	%),	White (	%),
English Language Learners (	%),	Students with Disabilities (	%)	, Economically Disadvar	ntaged (	%)	

2012-2013 Mathematics FCAT comparison
1. Level 3 or higher% ( )
2. Level 4 or 5% ( )
3. Learning gains% ( )
4. Lowest 25% making gains% ( )

## 2012-2013 Algebra EOC comparison

1.	Leve	el	3	or higher			<u>%</u> (
~	т	1	4	-	0 /	1	``

2	Level	l 4 01	15	%	(	)	)
---	-------	--------	----	---	---	---	---

2012-2013 Geometry EOC co	omparison
1. Level 3 or higher% (	)
2. Level 4 or 5% ( )	

## Science

#### 2013-2014 Science Goals as measured by FCAT

1. % ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher.

2. % ( ) of the students will score a level 4 or 5.

#### 2013-2014 Biology Goals as measured by EOC

1. \_\_\_\_% ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher.

2. % ( ) of the students will score a level 4 or 5.

STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics) Goal STEM SMART Goal(s):

2012-2013 Science FCAT Comparison
1. Level 3 or higher% ( ) 2. Level 4 or.5% ( )
2012-2013 BIO EOC Comparison

I.	Level 3	or n	ngner		<u>~</u> /0
2.	Level 4	or 5		_% (	

## Writing

2013-2014 Writing goals as measured by FCAT

1. % ( ) of the students will score proficient in writing.

#### **Social Studies**

#### 2013-2014 Civics goals as measured by EOC

- 1. % ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher.
- 2. \_\_\_% ( ) of the students will score a level 4 or 5.

#### 2013-2014 US History goals as measured by EOC

- 1. % ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher.
- 2. % ( ) of the students will score a level 4 or 5.

#### 2012-2013 FCAT Writes Comparison

1. Scored proficient \_\_\_\_% ( )

0/	1	
%	(	

2012-2013 Civics E0	OC Compa	rison
1. Level 3 or higher 2. Level 4 or 5	_%( _%( )	)

### **2012-2013 US History EOC Comparison**

- 1. Level 3 or higher -% ( )
- 2. Level 4 or 5 % ( )

### **Florida Alternative Assessment**

Do not report on this section if fewer than ten students on FAA.

#### 2013-2014 Reading goals as measured by the FAA

- 1. \_\_\_\_% ( ) of the students will score a 4, 5 or 6 in Reading.
- 2. \_\_\_\_% ( ) of the students will score a 7 or above in Reading.
- 3. \_\_\_\_% ( ) of the students will make Learning Gains in Reading.
- 4. \_\_\_\_% ( ) of the Lowest 25% of the students will make learning gains.

### 2013-2014 Mathematics goals as measured by the FAA

- 1. \_\_\_\_% ( ) of the students will score a 4, 5 or 6 in Mathematics.
- 2. \_\_\_\_% ( ) of the students will score a 7 or above in Mathematics.
- 3. \_\_\_\_% ( ) of the students will make Learning Gains in Mathematics.
- 4. \_\_\_\_% ( ) of the Lowest 25% of the students will make learning gains.

#### 2013-2014 Science goals as measured by the FAA

- % ( ) of the students will score a 4, 5 or 6 in Science.
- 2. \_\_\_\_% ( ) of the students will score a 7 or above in Science.

Compre	hensive English Language Learning Assessment Goals:	
Do not re	ort on this section if fewer than ten students on CELLA.	
English I	<u>earners speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level</u>	
		2012-2013 CELLA Proficiency
19	( ) Students will score proficient in Listening/Speaking as measured by CELLA	1. Listening/Speaking% ( )
2%	) Students will score proficient in Reading as measured by CELLA	2. Reading%( ) 3. Writing %( )
39	) Students will score proficient in Writing as measured by CELLA	5. writing/0 ( )

2012-2013 FAA Reading con	<u>nparison</u>	
1. Level 4, 5, or 6% (	)	
2. Level 7 or higher% (	)	
3. Learning gains% (	)	
4. Lowest 25% making gains	%(	)

## **Dropout Prevention**

#### Attendance

- 1. The Attendance rate will increase by %.
- 2. Students with excessive absences will decrease by %.

(Defined as 10 or more absences)

3. Students with excessive tardies will decrease by %. (Defined as 10 or more tardies)

## **Suspension**

- 1. The In-School Suspension rate will decrease by %.
- 2. The total number of students suspended In-School will decrease by %.
- 3. The Out-of-School Suspension rate will decrease by %.
- 4. The total number of students suspended Out-of-School will decrease by %.

### **Parent Involvement**

1. Parent involvement will be increased by % (unduplicated).

# **2013 Attendance Rates** 1. Current attendance rate% 2. Current number of students with excessive absences 3. Current number of students with excessive tardies

#### **2013 Suspension Rates**

- 1. Total number of In-School Suspensions
- 2. Total number of students suspended In-School
- 3. Total number of Out-of-School Suspensions
- 4. Total number of students Suspended Out-of-School

## **2013 Parent Involvement Rates**

1. Total number of parents involved in school improvement activities (unduplicated).

#### 6

# Health and Wellness Programs

Violence Prevention and Safety Programs
Student Nutrition Programs
Physical Education Plan
Staff Wellness
Alcohol, Tobacco and other Drugs Prevention
Health Services

# PART III: MTSS Implementation

Describe how the Core PST functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?			
Describe the data resource(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier.			
Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.			
Describe the	plan to support MTSS.		
	Tier I Strategies	Tier II Strategies	Tier III Strategies
Literacy			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Behavior			

## PART IV: BUDGET <u>Professional Development (PD) aligned with strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</u>

Each strategy must be aligned with one or more goals listed previously.

PD Content or PLC Focus	Focused Goal(s)	Person Responsible for Monitoring	Monitoring Tool	Participants	Target Dates	Funding Source	Amount
Collaborative Planning/ Lesson Study							

**Total PD Budget** 

## **PART V: Differentiated Accountability**

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance Please verify the school's DA Status. (If in DA status, school must use the state SIP form.)
Are you a DA school? Yes No
Are you reward school? Yes No (A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.) Schools that are in DA Status must complete the DA Checklist of Compliance. <u>http://flbsi.org/DA/</u>

## **PART VI: Postsecondary Transition/Readiness**

\*High Schools Only

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>.